

NDC and Dynamic Pricing: Implications for Airline Revenue Management

Dr. Peter P. Belobaba

Revenue Management and Pricing International

London

March 7, 2019

© Copyright 2019 Massachusetts Institute of Technology



Legacy Distribution Constraints Have Led to a Complex Airfare Shopping Process





Most airline commercial processes are centered around the fare class, or reservation booking designator (RBD).



The distribution requirements of indirect channels have prevented airlines from moving beyond filed fare classes.



IATA's New Distribution Capability (NDC) is an XML-based standard for distribution communication.

NDC allows for more information to be exchanged in the ticket shopping process, besides availabilities and fares.

- Including information regarding ancillary services, rich media, and optional personal information about the customer making the booking request, including frequent flyer numbers.
- Prices and product offerings could be customized to each request and generated in real time.

NDC has started a discussion about "next-generation" approaches to airline pricing and revenue management.



Firms practice **dynamic pricing** when they charge *different prices* to *different customers* for the *same product*, as a function of an *observable state of nature*.

The observable state of nature could include:

Remaining product inventory

Time remaining in the selling period

Characteristics of the customer

Characteristics of the shopping request

Forecasts of future demand

Competitor offerings



More Frequent

(e.g., Transactional)

Availability-Based Pricing	Y B M X	 Select prices from a pre-defined, finite set of possible price points. 		
Dynamic Price Adjustment	\$249 ↓ \$229	 Start with ABP, then adjust prices up or down in certain situations. 		
Continuous Pricing	\$499 1 \$199	 Select prices freely from among a continuous range of values. 		
Er	equency	of Price Selection		

Less Frequent (e.g., Daily)

6







The Passenger Origin-Destination Simulator (PODS) models interactions between passenger choice and airline revenue management systems:







Dynamic Pricing Engines (DPEs) are a dynamic price adjustment mechanism that adjusts (up or down) the prices of pre-filed fare products in certain situations.

→ The DPE concept emerged from the ATPCO Dynamic Pricing Working Group.

DPEs use contextual information to apply increments or discounts to the fares that would normally be offered by an airline RM system.





Consider a world with two passenger segments:



Suppose that airlines can identify (with a certain degree of accuracy) the segment of each shopping session:



- Discount the price of the lowest-available fare product for some lower-WTP requests.
- Increment the price of the lowest-available fare product for some higher-WTP requests.



Prerequisites for Dynamic Pricing Engines: Segmentation and Conditional WTP Estimation

Airlines would need to segment booking requests and estimate conditional customer WTP:



Categorize shopping sessions into segments, using:

Information about the Request



and/or

Information about the Customer



WTP Estimation

- Estimate conditional WTP for each segment and market.
- Airlines practicing advanced forecasting techniques are already estimating WTP.

🥪 e.g. Sell-up rates/fare adjustment

Airlines could also use simple
 WTP parameters as estimates
 for each market and segment.



Outcome: Gain new booking at \$350



PODS Simulation Network U10 – 4 Airlines



AL1 MSP Network (DAVN)



AL2 ORD Network (DAVN)







PFDynA Can Lead to Revenue Gains of Up to 4% When Used by a Single Airline in this Network

Percent Change in AL1 Revenue from Base when Only AL1 Uses PFDynA Dynamic Pricing in Network U10

■ Low Demand (76% ALF) ■ Medium Demand (83% ALF) ■ High Demand (88% ALF)

4.5%





One Airline's Use of Dynamic Pricing Affects the Revenues of Other Airlines in the Network

% Change in Revenue from Base when AL1 Only Uses Increments-Only or Discounts-Only PFDynA (Q = 2.0/1.5)





All Airlines in the Simulation See Revenue Gains if They All Use Dynamic Price Adjustment

Percent Change in Airline Revenue from Base when All Airlines in Network U10 Use PFDynA





There Appears to Be a First-Mover Advantage with Discounts-Only Dynamic Pricing

Percent Change in Revenue from Base when Various Airlines Use Discounts-Only PFDynA in Network U10

■ AL1 ■ AL2 ■ AL3 ■ AL4





Unlike Dynamic Pricing Engines, continuous pricing does not rely on a set of pre-determined price points:

Solution Airline generates a single "optimal" price to quote at a given time

Two possible options for distribution:

- File a separate fare basis for each possible price point, then use continuous pricing to select which price to display.
- Use the New Distribution Capability to distribute continuously chosen prices without reference to pre-determined price points.
- Either approach requires new WTP-based RM forecasting and optimization processes.
 - But, could be based on existing class-based RM or new classless RM databases and algorithms



Classless RM and Continuous Pricing Framework





Unrestricted Network D6

- 🥪 2 airlines
- 🥪 40 Spoke Cities
- 🥪 252 legs
- 🥪 482 OD markets
- 🥪 6 fare classes

Class	AP	R1	R2	R3
1	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0



Class	1	2	3	4	5	6
Average Fare	\$412.85	\$293.34	\$179.01	\$153.03	\$127.05	\$101.06
Minimum Fare	\$188.33	\$136.83	\$87.58	\$76.39	\$65.19	\$54.00
Maximum Fare	\$742.52	\$514.82	\$297.02	\$247.52	\$198.02	\$153.00



Small Revenue Gains When Both Airlines Implement, Large Gains When One Airline Uses Continuous Pricing

Revenue – Symmetric vs. Asymmetric ProBP

■ Airline 1 ■ Airline 2





Airline 1 Implementing Either Form of Continuous Pricing Sees Large Increase in Load Factor

Load Factor – Symmetric vs. Asymmetric ProBP

■ Airline 1 ■ Airline 2









Dynamic offer generation combines the product creation and price selection processes into a single mechanism:



Select and price a set of offer(s) that maximizes expected revenue from each booking request



Continue to assume the airline can differentiate two passenger segments



Dynamically assemble and price an offer that maximizes expected revenue for the airline for each passenger type:





DOG is Revenue Positive When Used with Network RM by One or All Airlines in U10

% Change in Net Revenue from Base when AL1 or All Airlines Use Bounded DOG in Unrestricted Network U10 (Q = 2.5/1.2)





90% of AL1's Passengers End Up Receiving the Ancillary, Mostly Through Purchasing Bundles

Breakdown of Bookings by Ancillary Purchase when Only AL1 Uses Bounded DOG in Unrestricted Network U10 (Q = 2.5/1.2)





For Airline 1 DOG Increases Total Revenue per Booking in Early TFs, Lowers It Closer to Departure

AL1 Total Revenue per Booking when AL1 Only uses Dynamic Offer Generation in Unrestricted Network U10 (Q = 2.5/1.2)





Competitors Capture More Bookings Early, but AL1 Gains Many Later Bookings with Lower Priced Offers

Change in Bookings from Base when AL1 Only Uses Bounded DOG in Unrestricted Network U10 (Q = 2.5/1.2)







Our research has shown that adding dynamic pricing to traditional airline RM leads to several reward/risk tradeoffs:

Reward/Risk Tradeoffs from Dynamic Pricing

Incrementing

Discounting



PODS simulations suggest that if practiced carefully, dynamic pricing techniques could lead to revenue-positive performance even in competitive markets.



The airline industry should proceed under the assumption that nextgeneration pricing will develop in some form.

To prepare for next-generation pricing, airlines will need to develop new processes, techniques, and core competencies:

